## **NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION**

Part A – To Be Completed By Observer					
1.	Person Initiating Report: Jacob Harris	Phone: 526-1877			
	Contractor WAG Manager: Douglas Burns	Phone: 526-4324			
2.	Site Title: 033, Experimental Test Drum in EOCR-01 Leach Pond				
3.	Describe the conditions that indicate a possible inactive or unreported waste site. Include location and description of suspicious condition, amount or extent of condition and date observed. A location map and/or diagram identifying the site against controlled survey points or global positioning system descriptors shall be included to help with the site visit. Include any known common names or location descriptors for the waste site.				
	In the EOCR/STF Leach Pond pit, are the remains of an experimental test on a Dow resin/nitric acid mixture that was performed by Richard Green and EM people 10 to 15 years ago. During the August 1999 site visit, there was a drum inside of a stainless steel cylinder and a stand next to them. Soil fills the interstitial space between the drum and cylinder. Inside of the drum are ashes, thermocouples, graduated cylinders, beakers, stainless steel blocks, pipettes, crucibles, and other items. The GPS coordinates of the site are N677125.09 by E306548.75. The reference number for this site is 033 and can be found on the summary map as provided.				
Part B – To Be Completed By Contractor WAG Manager					
4.					
	1300/ilistoridation.				
	This site meets the requirements for an inactive waste site, require FFA/CO Action Plan. Proposed Operable Unit assignment is recoi WAG: 10	s investigation, and should be included in the INEEL nmended to be included in the FFA/CO. Perable Unit: $10-08$			
	This site DOES NOT meet the requirements for an inactive waste sincluded in the INEEL FFA/CO Action Plan.	site, DOES NOT require investigation and SHOULD NOT	be		
5.	Basis for the recommendation:				
	The conditions that exist at this site indicate the potential for an inactive waste site according to Section 2 of MCP-3448 Reporting or Disturbance of Suspected Inactive Waste Sites.				
			ļ		
			İ		
	The basis for recommendation must include: (1) source description; (2 concern; and (4) descriptions of interfaces with other programs, as appl	exposure pathways; (3) potential contaminants of cable (e.g., D&D, Facility Operations, etc.)			
6.	6. Contractor WAG Manager Certification: I have examined the proposed site and the information submitted in this document and believe the information to be true, accurate, and complete. My recommendation is indicated in Section 4 above.				
Name: Date: 5/15/00					

## **NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION**

Part C – To Be Completed By INEEL FFACO/CO WAG Managers					
7. WAG Operable Unit:					
DOE WAG Manager Concurrence: Signature: Patti Krowpa	Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.			
Date: 5\3\\00 EAP WAG Managers Concurrence:	Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.			
Signature: 6/5/00	<del>-</del> -				
State of Idaho WAG Managers Concurrence: Signature:		Do not concur with the recommendation.			
Date: 6-14-00 Explanation follows:	_				
Part D – To Be Completed By The INEEL FFA/CO Responsible Program Managers (RPM's)					
8. FFA/CO RPM's Concurrence:					
For DOE-ID					
Name: Kathleen Hain Signature: 1	a. E Hair Date: 7/12/00	Concur  Do not concur. Explanation follows:			
For EPA Region X Name: Wayne Pierre Signature: A Mill V	Mul Date: 715 100	Concur  Do not concur. Explanation follows:			
For State of Idaho					
Name: Dean Nygard Signature:	/ Reparo ( Date: 6 128100	Concur  Do not concur Explanation follows:			



MISC-33, Experimental Test Drum in EOCR-01 Leach Pond



MISC-33, Experimental Test Drum in EOCR-01 Leach Pond



MISC-33, Experimental Test Drum in FOCR-01 Leach Pond